



## Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact [support@jstor.org](mailto:support@jstor.org).

**DIGEST OF OTHER RECENT VIRGINIA DECISIONS.****Supreme Court of Appeals.**

Note.—In this department we give the syllabus of every case decided by the Virginia Supreme Court of Appeals, except of such cases as are reported in full.

---

**SENSENY'S ADM'R v. BOYD'S ADM'R.**

Nov. 21, 1912.

[76 S. E. 280.]

**Limitation of Actions (§§ 96, 100\*)—Accrual—Discovery of Fraud.**  
—No lapse of time and no delay in bringing a suit, however long, will defeat the remedy in case of fraud or mutual mistake, provided the injured party during such interval was ignorant of the fraud or mistake without fraud on his part, and the duty to commence proceedings can only arise upon discovery of the fraud or mistake.

[Ed. Note.—For other cases, see Limitation of Actions, Cent. Dig. §§ 475, 476, 323, 430-493; Dec. Dig. §§ 96, 100.\* 9 Va.-W. Va. Enc. Dig. 388.]

Appeal from Circuit Court, Frederick County.

Petition by Senseny's administrator against Boyd's administrator. From a decree for defendant, petitioner appeals. Affirmed.

*W. Roy Stephenson*, of Winchester, for appellant.

*R. T. Barton*, of Winchester, for appellee.

---

**McLIN et al. v. RICHMOND et al.**

Nov. 21, 1912.

[76 S. E. 301.]

**1. Deeds (§ 114\*)—Construction—Property Conveyed.**—A deed recited that whereas J. R. had that day conveyed to complainant an undivided interest in the land which descended to him from his mother, and where as some question had been raised about the title of the house and lot devised to J. R. and another by his father, whether title was in his father or his former wife, in consideration of the said conveyance complainant thereby relinquished to J. R. any rights that might be acquired under the said deed from him to the said lot and house. Held, that the deed did not convey or release to J. R. the entire property in the house and lot, but merely released that interest which complainant might otherwise have claimed under the deed from J. R. to him.

[Ed. Note.—For other cases, see Deeds, Cent. Dig. §§ 316-322, 326-329, 388; Dec. Dig. § 114.\* 4 Va.-W. Va. Enc. Dig. 427, et seq.]

---

\*For other cases see same topic and section NUMBER in Dec. Dig. & Am. Dig. Key No. Series & Rep'r Indexes.